

# WATER SERVICE RELIABILITY AND DROUGHT RISK ASSESSMENT

### 7.1 Constraints of Water Sources

# Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:

CWC 10631(c)(2) For any water source that may not be available at a consistent level of use, given specific legal, environmental, water quality, or climatic factors, describe plans to supplement or replace that source with alternative sources or water demand management measures, to the extent practical

CWC 10634 The plan shall include information, to the extent practical, relating to the quality of existing sources of water available to the supplier over the same five-year increments as descirbed in subdivision (a) of Section 10631, and the manner in which water quality affects water management strategies and supply reliability.

Currently, the only source of potable water that the Triunfo Water & Sanitation District (District) utilizes is wholesale distributed water through Calleguas Municipal Water District (CMWD). Additional water supplies are obtained by treating wastewater at the Tapia Water Reclamation Facility (TWRF) from service areas outside of the District area and using it as recycled water for irrigation purposes only.

Table 7.1.1: Factors Resulting in Inconsistency of Water Supply

Water Supply Sources	Legal	Environmental	Water Quality	Climatic	Additional Information
CMWD Wholesale Water			✓		NA
Recycled Water			✓		NA

#### **CMWD Wholesale Water**

CMWD identified in its 2020 Urban Water Management Plan update that its water supply to the District is considered reliable and sufficient to meet demands. In addition, CMWD works to ensure the distributed supply meets all State and Federal water quality standards. However, the reliability of the supply is dependent on the water quality delivered by the State Water Project (SWP) and Colorado River (included last year as a result of drought conditions) to the Municipal Water District of Southern California (MWD). In general, the SWP quality has allowed water suppliers to meet

or exceed water quality standards. Water supply reliability may be affected by constraints on water source, regulatory actions, local activity, and climate factors.

### **Recycled Water**

Recycled Water is treated as described in Chapter 6. This water supply is subject to seasonal variability, but routinely available. Similar to the District's purchased water supply, recycled water quality is a primary concern toward healthful and environmentally protective use.

The District must meet water quality standards under the California Code of Regulations, Title 22. The District is impacted by these regulatory standards through the received wastewater at the TWRF, under pretreatment program control, and new pollutants of concern. The Joint Powers Authority between the District and Las Virgenes Municipal Water District to deliver recycled water, within the CMWD service area, ensures the proper conditions of use are applied and distribution to general irrigation customer for non-potable use is reliable. The TWRF operates under a separate recycled water use permit which directs changes to wastewater discharger sources or process to ensure compliance with all water quality standards and that the delivered water is safe for supplemental irrigation use.

### **Water Quality**

The District is a storage and distribution system only and therefore can focus on operational/distribution parameters, bacteriological, disinfection by products and corrosivity. CMWD and MWD are involved in treatment of drinking water which requires a longer listing of potential state/source water contaminants. It should be noted the MWD 2019 Annual Drinking Water Quality Report did not identify any contaminant above the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). MWD has considered the risks to the quality of water supplied through the Colorado River and the SWP. MWD reports that increased salinity and chemicals (e.g., total dissolved solids, chromium VI, etc.), as a theoretical water quality event, will cause at most a 15% reduction in supply. However, MWD also noted if concentrations of these contaminants exceed the drinking water standards, blending strategies such as utilizing only small amounts of the affected water and blending it with potable, processed water would reduce the concentration to treatable and/or below limit levels. MWD has stated that it "anticipates no significant reductions in water supply availability as a result of water quality."

The District realizes the importance of constantly assuring that the water it distributes meets potable water standards. The following subsections describe the contemporary issues of concern.

### Salinity

Increased salinity in the water received from the Colorado River has required MWD to utilize a blend strategy as described above: reduction of a high salinity (CRA, Colorado River Aqueduct) water supply with the lower salinity (SWP) water supply. Although this has not caused water supply shortages, if source supply waters increase in salinity, additional treatment process may be required and could result in up to 15% reduction in water supply.

To prevent a reduction in supply, MWD has established a Salinity Management Policy, which sets the goal of delivering water with less than 500 milligrams per liter (mg/L) of total dissolved solids (TDS). Generally, this issue only impacts the Colorado River supply as the SWP has historically been observed to have significantly lower salinity levels (250 to 300 mg/L). In comparison, the TDS concentration in groundwater sources is generally greater than 1000 mg/L.

In addition to affecting the potable water supply, high levels of salinity also reduce the quality of treated wastewater, which could potentially affect the recycled water supply. As recycled water is used for irrigation purposes within the District's service area, high salinity levels can contribute to accumulation and may impact landscapes. If salinity levels were to rise, it would result in prohibitions on use, add permit requirements (salt & nutrient management plans) and/or necessitate expensive desalination treatment.

# Chromium VI (Hexavalent Chromium)

Chromium VI contributes to the measurement of Total Chromium, and total chromium levels are maintained at or below the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) standard MCL (50 micrograms per liter [µg/L]). In a draft released by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) on December 31, 2010, a public health goal (PHG) for Chromium VI was proposed at 0.02 µg/L. A PHG is not an enforceable regulatory standard. However, state law requires the CDPH to use the PHG as guidance in developing an MCL. Meanwhile, many local water agencies are collaborating on research to determine effective treatment options for Chromium VI in the State's drinking water sources. MWD tests chromium levels for its compliance with the current standard utilizing a test method below the proposed maximum contaminant level.

MWD records of Chromium VI analyses reveal that, if more stringent goals are implemented, additional treatment of SWP water may be required as levels have been noted to exceed the proposed PHG. The draft released by OEHHA states that the PHG of 20 nanograms per liter (ng/L, or parts per trillion) is intended to be a "stringent health-protective goal" as opposed to a "maximum 'safe' level of chromium 6 in drinking water." In contrast to SWP water, water from the Colorado River has historically been recorded as generally having undetectable levels of Chromium VI.

Table 7.1.2 indicates the potential impacts of water quality on the District's water supply, as identified by CMWD and MWD.

Table 7.1.2: Water Quality - Current and Projected Water Supply Impacts

Water Source	Description of Condition	2025	2030	2035	2040
CMWD Potable Water	No water quality issues expected	0	0	0	0
Recycled Water	No water quality issues expected	0	0	0	0

# 7.2 Reliability by Type of Year

# Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:

CWC 10631(c)(1) Describe the reliability of the water supply and vunlerability to seasonal or climatic shortage, to the extent practicable, and provide data for each of the following:

- (A) An average water year,
- (B) A single dry water year,
- (C) Multiple dry water years.

All potable water supplies are provided by CMWD as a wholesaler to the District as a purveyor. The reliability of the supply, by CMWD, is directly related to supply by the MWD and deliveries via the SWP. Since the supply is not directly obtained by the District, the determination of reliability will largely be determined by CMWD and MWD analyses to provide a consistent water supply to the District during times of normal, single dry, and multiple dry years. Although the District does not obtain its water directly from a natural source (e.g. groundwater or surface water), the District is committed to reducing water demand during times of drought in order to conserve water and improve reliability for future water supplies.

For the purpose of this Plan, the Department of Water Resources defines average, single-dry, and multiple dry years as follows.

<u>Average Year:</u> A year, or an averaged range of years, that most closely represents the median water supply available to the agency.

<u>Single-Dry Year:</u> The year that represents the lowest water supply available to the agency.

<u>Multiple Dry Years:</u> The period that represents the lowest average water supply availability to the agency for a consecutive multiple year period (three years or more).

Table 7.2.1 identifies the normal, single dry, and multiple dry water years chosen to represent the water supply for supply from CMWD:

Table 7.2.1: Bases of Water Year Data

Year Type	Base Year	Available supplies if year type repeats		
		Volume Available	% of Avg Supply	
Average Year	1997	3237	100%	
Single-Dry Year	1999	3133	97%	
Multiple-Dry Years 1st Year	1999	3133	97%	
Multiple-Dry Years 2nd Year	2000	3259	101%	
Multiple-Dry Years 3rd Year	2001	3093	96%	
Multiple-Dry Years 4th Year	2002	3249	100%	
Multiple-Dry Years 5th Year	2003	3204	99%	

Notes: Untis are in acre-feet per year (AFY)

In the single dry water year, demand increased and therefore more water was supplied to meet the demand due to increased temperatures, evapotranspiration rates, and a longer dry season. Although this results in using more water than is naturally replenished during these years, water reserves are available to provide a reliable source of water in the event of another single dry year with similar hydrology.

# 7.3 Supply and Demand Assessment

## Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:

CWC 10635 Every urban water supplier shall include, as part of its urban water management plan, an assessment of the reliability of its water service to its customers during normal, dry, and multiple dry water years. This water supply and demand assessment shall compare the total water supply sources available to the water supplier with the total projected water use over the next 20 years, in five-year increments, for a normal water year, a single dry year, and multiple dry water years. The water service reliability assessment shall be based upon the information compiled pursuant to Section 10631, including available data from state, regional, or local agency population projections within the service area of the urban water supplier.

The following tables, 7.3.1 through 7.3.3, compare the total supply and demand as identified in Chapters 5 and 6 for normal, single-dry, and multiple-dry years. It can be seen that the supply available to the District, through CMWD, is above the total demand for average, single-dry years, and multiple-dry years. However, it should be noted some of these estimates account for the use of water saving measures implemented by the District. Furthermore The District is committed to water conservation and will work with residents and CMWD to ensure a reliable water supply for its customers

CMWD identified that supply was sufficient in a single-dry year to meet this increased demand. During a multiple-dry year, it was identified that the demand will increase as the population decreases but individual water use will decrease based on water saving policies. This will offset the predicted increase in demand over a multiple-dry year period. CMWD did not identify any reliability issues, based on MWD expectations, with delivering water during a single- or multiple-dry year period, and identified that supply would be sufficient to meet demand.

Table 7.3.1: Supply and Demand Comparison — Normal Year

	2025	2030	2035	2040
Supply Totals	2,969	2,992	3,016	3,041
Demand Totals	2,969	2,992	3,016	3,041
Difference	0	0	0	0

Notes: Units are in acre-feet per year

During a normal year, it can be seen that the District will obtain sufficient supplies from CMWD.

Table 7.3.2: Single Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison

	2025	2030	2035	2040
Supply Totals	2880	2902	2926	2950
Demand Totals	2691	2712	2733	2756
Difference	189	191	192	194

Notes: Units are in acre-feet per year

The demand in a single-dry year was estimated to increase by .07% based on the average demand increase in the CMWD UWMP. However, as the result of water conservation efforts, the District should be able to reduce water demands by 10% based on the policies of Stage 1 of the Water Shortage Contingency Plan. As a result, deliveries from CMWD will be sufficient to meet the demand. As mentioned previously, the District is committed to water conservation efforts to preserve water supplies during dry years. In the event of a water shortage, measures outlined in the Water Shortage Contingency Plan will be implemented.

Table 7.3.3: Supply and Demand Comparison – Multiple Dry-Year Events

		2025	2030	2035	2040
Multiple-Dry Year 1 <sup>st</sup> Year Supply	Supply Totals	2880	2902	2926	2950
	Demand Totals	2691	2712	2733	2756
rour ouppry	Difference	189	191	192	194
BE IV. I D V ond	Supply Totals	2999	3022	3046	3071
Multiple-Dry Year 2 <sup>nd</sup> Year Supply	Demand Totals	2610	2630	2651	2673
real Supply	Difference	389	392	395	398
Multiple-Dry Year 3 <sup>rd</sup> Year Supply	Supply Totals	2765	2786	2808	2832
	<b>Demand Totals</b>	2718	2739	2761	2784
	Difference	47	47	48	48
Marking Down Volume 4th	Supply Totals	2969	2992	3016	3041
Multiple-Dry Year 4 <sup>th</sup> Year Supply	<b>Demand Totals</b>	2506	2525	2545	2566
rear Suppry	Difference	463	467	471	475
Multiple-Dry Year 5 <sup>th</sup> Year Supply	Supply Totals	2939	2962	2986	3011
	<b>Demand Totals</b>	2691	2712	2733	2756
Tour Suppry	Difference	249	250	252	255

As estimated in the CMWD UWMP, water demand in a multiple dry year period is expected to increase by .07% on average. However, as mentioned for single-dry years, the District may ration supplies as necessary, and implement water conservation measures outlined in the Water Shortage Contingency Plan. A 10% reduction was used for these estimated in accordance with Stage 1 water conservation policies found in the Water Shortage Contingency Plan.

As demonstrated in Table 7.3.3, it is anticipated that supplies will be able to meet demands in a multiple-dry year period. In more severe stages of water shortages, the District may ration supplies as necessary, and implement water conservation measures resulting in up to a 50% water use reduction. This will be implemented in situations when water supply is projected to reach dangerously low levels and an emergency situation is imminent.

# 7.4 Regional Supply Reliability

## Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:

CWC 10620(f) An urban water supplier shall describe in the plan water management tools and options used by that entity that will maximize resources and minimize the need to import water from other regions.

Water supply reliability includes both the availability of the water purchased through CMWD and the distribution and storage facilities that make up the District's water system. The water supplied through CMWD is considered a reliable source. There are currently no opportunities being pursued by the District to discontinue wholesale water service through CMWD.

As a result of the District water supply being provided by CMWD, which in turn is provided through MWD and the SWP, the reliability analysis for this Chapter is heavily dependent on the reliability analyses of these agencies that are subject to State and Federal regulations. Although the District is dependent on these sources to provide a reliable water supply, the District provides empirical water use projections and reasonable estimates related to user or system changes. Instead of attempting to replace water supplies that are deemed unreliable by seeking alternate water sources, the District will continue to coordinate with CMWD to ensure that the necessary improvements are made to ensure a high quality and reliable source of water.

### 7.5 Drought Risk Assessment

### **Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:**

CWC 10635(b) . Every urban water supplier shall include, as part of its urban water management plan, a drought risk assessment for its water service to its customers as part of information considered in developing the demand management measures and water supply projects and programs to be included in the urban water management plan. The urban water supplier may conduct an interim update or updates to this drought risk assessment within the five-year cycle of its urban water management plan update.

In accordance with the water code, the District has prepared a Drought Risk Assessment (DRA) in accordance with the water code. The District estimated sufficient water supply should be available to meet demands based on reported additional supplies from CMWD through MWD and

anticipated water conservation efforts set forth in the Water Shortage Contingency Plan. The following section outlines the specific requirements of the water code and explains how the District meets compliance

# **Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:**

CWC 10635(b)(1) (1) A description of the data, methodology, and basis for one or more supply shortage conditions that are necessary to conduct a drought risk assessment for a drought period that lasts five consecutive water years, starting from the year following when the assessment is conducted.

Data for the DRA was based on current and historic water demand records for the District and estimated using the same tools used to complete the update to the Urban Water Management Plan. The District population was estimated using the DWR population tool for pillar years (2020-2025). Additionally, water supply was calculated utilizing the same methodology used to determine the multiple dry-year scenario. Working with historical water supply values and then applying that percent to the current water supply over successive years to develop a multiple dry-year scenario beginning in the current planning year. The values reflect what supply and demand might look like if the District entered into a multiple dry-year scenario beginning in the current year.

### **Urban Water Management Planning Act Requirement:**

CWC 10635(b)(3) A comparison of the total water supply sources available to the water supplier with the total projected water use for the drought period.

The following tables outline the projected water supply and demand throughout the drought scenario. These tables were developed utilizing DWR's Optional Planning Tool and utilizes the methodologies included in the Urban Water Management Plan Guidebook.

Table 7.5.1: Drought Risk Assessment Table

2021	Total
Total Water Use	2189
Total Water Supplies	2109
Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action	-80
Planed WCSP Actions	
WSCP -supply augmentation benefit	-
WSCP- use reduction savings benefit	218
Revised Surplus/Shortfall	138

Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action	10%
2022	Total
Total Water Use	2205
Total Water Supplies	2196
Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action	-9
Planed WCSP Actions	
WSCP -supply augmentation benefit	-
WSCP- use reduction savings benefit	221
Revises Surplus/Shortfall	212
Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action	10%
2023	Total
Total Water Use	2220
Total Water Supplies	2087
Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action	-133
Planed WCSP Actions	
WSCP -supply augmentation benefit	-
WSCP- use reduction savings benefit	222
Revises Surplus/Shortfall	89
Populting 9/ Llos Poduction from WSCP action	100/
Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action	10%
2024	Total
2024	Total
2024 Total Water Use	Total 2236
2024  Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies	Total 2236 2174
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action	Total 2236 2174
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions	Total 2236 2174
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit	Total  2236  2174  -62
Total Water Use Total Water Supplies Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action Planed WCSP Actions WSCP -supply augmentation benefit WSCP- use reduction savings benefit	Total  2236  2174  -62  - 224
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit  WSCP- use reduction savings benefit  Revises Surplus/Shortfall	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit  WSCP- use reduction savings benefit  Revises Surplus/Shortfall  Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162 10%
Total Water Use Total Water Supplies Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action Planed WCSP Actions WSCP -supply augmentation benefit WSCP- use reduction savings benefit Revises Surplus/Shortfall Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action 2025	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162 10% Total
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit  WSCP- use reduction savings benefit  Revises Surplus/Shortfall  Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action  2025  Total Water Use	Total  2236  2174  -62  -  224  162  10%  Total  2251
Total Water Use Total Water Supplies Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit WSCP- use reduction savings benefit Revises Surplus/Shortfall Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action 2025  Total Water Use Total Water Supplies	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162 10% Total 2251 2152
Total Water Use Total Water Supplies Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit WSCP- use reduction savings benefit Revises Surplus/Shortfall Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action 2025  Total Water Use Total Water Supplies Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162 10% Total 2251 2152
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit  WSCP- use reduction savings benefit  Revises Surplus/Shortfall  Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action  2025  Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162 10% Total 2251 2152
Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit  WSCP- use reduction savings benefit  Revises Surplus/Shortfall  Resulting % Use Reduction from WSCP action  2025  Total Water Use  Total Water Supplies  Surplus/Shortfall w/o WSCP Action  Planed WCSP Actions  WSCP -supply augmentation benefit	Total  2236 2174 -62  - 224 162 10% Total 2251 2152 -99

Triunfo Water & Sanitation District -	2020 Urban Water Management Plan